



EARL STONHAM PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Extraordinary Parish Council meeting held at the Village Hall, Earl Stonham on **Tuesday, 11th June 2019 at 7.30pm.**

Present:

Councillors: C Woods (Chair)
D Turner
D Brenig-Jones
J Henderson-Hamilton
B Heard
H Stanford

In Attendance J Blackburn - Clerk

ES34/19/20 – APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies had been received from Cllr Wilkinson and Cllr Budd.

ES35/19/20 – DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None had been received.

ES36/19/20 – APPLICATIONS FOR DISPENSATION

None had been received.

ES37/19/20 – PLANNING AND LAND MATTERS

a) PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Ref: DC/19/02444 - Planning Application - Separation of 1no. dwelling into 2No separate dwellings - Fen Farm, Fen Lane, Earl Stonham, Ipswich Suffolk IP6 8QD

During a brief discussion members noted that there seemed to be many sections that contained incorrect information on the application form.

Members were greatly concerned that due to the narrowness of Fen Lane additional properties would create more traffic onto what was an already dangerous lane.

It was AGREED: That the Parish Council **strongly objected** to the application. **Clerk to action.**

Ref: DC/19/02580 - Householder Planning Application - Erection of a single storey side extension - The Mardle, Forward Green, Earl Stonham, Stowmarket Suffolk IP14 5EW

It was AGREED: That the Parish Council had no objections to the application. **Clerk to action.**

b) REFLECTORS

Cllr Woods explained that he had received complaints from residents around the village green that the recently installed reflectors be removed from the wooden posts as they felt they were not in keeping with the village and were very bright at night, lighting up the track and housing, which they felt was a security risk.

The Clerk confirmed that she too had received complaints, but reminded members that should the reflectors be removed the Council needed to be aware that should an accident happen in relation to the posts, the Parish Council would be liable.

It was raised by one member that there hadn't been any issues in the past with another member stating that historically posts had been knocked down by cars and that lorries often used the track in which to turn around.

Members felt that the reflectors were initially put in place as a safety measure and had every good intention, but if residents were unhappy about them then that should be taken into consideration.

It was AGREED: That the first two reflectors should remain at the entrance to the track, with the rest of them to be removed.

The meeting finished at 7.45pm.

Chairman: Dated: